


FORM PTO-1390 (REV 11-2000)	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER 117-360
TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371		U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 C.F.R. 1.5) 09/890973 Unknown
INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/GB00/00428	INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE 10 February 2000	PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED 10 February 1999
TITLE OF INVENTION PROCESS FOR QUALITY CONTROL AND STANDARDISATION OF MEDICINAL PLANT PRODUCTS		
APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US HYLANDS et al.		
Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:		
1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This is a FIRST submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371. 2. <input type="checkbox"/> This is a SECOND or SUBSEQUENT submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371. 3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This is an express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)). The submission must include items (5), (6), (9) and (21) indicated below. 4. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The U.S. has been elected by the expiration of 19 months from the priority date (Article 31). 5. A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)). a. <input type="checkbox"/> is attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau). b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> has been communicated by the International Bureau. c. <input type="checkbox"/> is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US). 6. <input type="checkbox"/> An English language translation of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)). a. <input type="checkbox"/> is attached hereto. b. <input type="checkbox"/> has been previously submitted under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4). 7. <input type="checkbox"/> Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)). a. <input type="checkbox"/> are attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau). b. <input type="checkbox"/> have been communicated by the International Bureau. c. <input type="checkbox"/> have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired. d. <input type="checkbox"/> have not been made and will not be made. 8. <input type="checkbox"/> An English language translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)). 9. <input type="checkbox"/> An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)). 10. <input type="checkbox"/> A English language translation of the annexes of the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)). Items 11 To 20 below concern document(s) or information included: 11. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 C.F.R. 1.97 and 1.98. 12. <input type="checkbox"/> An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 3.28 and 3.31 is included. 13. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A FIRST preliminary amendment. 14. <input type="checkbox"/> A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment. 15. <input type="checkbox"/> A substitute specification. 16. <input type="checkbox"/> A change of power of attorney and/or address letter. 17. <input type="checkbox"/> A computer-readable form of the sequence listing in accordance with PCT Rule 13ter.2 and 35 U.S.C. 1.821-1.825. 18. <input type="checkbox"/> A second copy of the published international application under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4). 19. <input type="checkbox"/> A second copy of the English language translation of the international application under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4). 20. <input type="checkbox"/> Other items or information.		

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 C.F.R. 1.5) Unknown 017500973		INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/GB00/00428		ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER 117-360	
41. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The following fees are submitted:				CALCULATIONS PTO USE ONLY	
BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 C.F.R. 1.492(a)(1)-(5)):					
-- Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 C.F.R. 1.482) nor international search fee (37 C.F.R. 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO and International Search Report not prepared by the EPO or JPO.....				\$1000.00	
-- International preliminary examination fee (37 C.F.R. 1.482) not paid to USPTO but International Search Report prepared by the EPO or JPO.....				\$860.00	
-- International preliminary examination fee (37 C.F.R. 1.482) not paid to USPTO but international search fee (37 C.F.R. 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO.....				\$710.00	
-- International preliminary examination fee (37 C.F.R. 1.482) paid to USPTO but all claims did not satisfy provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4).....				\$690.00	
-- International preliminary examination fee (37 C.F.R. 1.482) paid to USPTO and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4).....				\$100.00	
ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =				\$	860.00
Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 C.F.R. 1.492(e)).				\$	130.00
CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE	\$	
Total Claims	15	-20 =	0	X	\$18.00
Independent Claims	2	-3 =	0	X	\$80.00
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS(S) (if applicable)				\$	270.00
TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =				\$	990.00
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. The fees indicated above are reduced by 1/2.				\$	495.00
SUBTOTAL =				\$	495.00
Processing fee of \$130.00, for furnishing the English Translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 C.F.R. 1.492(f)).				\$	0.00
TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =				\$	495.00
Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 C.F.R. 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 C.F.R. 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property				\$	0.00
Fee for Petition to Revive Unintentionally Abandoned Application (\$1240.00 - Small Entity = \$620.00)				\$	0.00
TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =				\$	495.00
				Amount to be: refunded	\$
				Charged	\$
<p>a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A check in the amount of \$495.00 to cover the above fees is enclosed.</p> <p>b. <input type="checkbox"/> Please charge my Deposit Account No. 14-1140 in the amount of \$_____ to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this form is enclosed.</p> <p>c. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 14-1140. A duplicate copy of this form is enclosed.</p> <p>d. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The entire content of the foreign application(s), referred to in this application is/are hereby incorporated by reference in this application.</p>					
<p>NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 C.F.R. 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 C.F.R. 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.</p>					
<p>SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:</p> <p>NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C. 1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor Arlington, Virginia 22201-4714 Telephone: (703) 816-4000</p>					
				 SIGNATURE	
				Arthur R. Crawford NAME	
				25,327 August 8, 2001 REGISTRATION NUMBER Date	

09/890973

JG05 Rec'd PCT/PTO 0 8 AUG 2001

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

HYLANDS et al.

Atty. Ref.: 117-360

Serial No. Unknown

Group:

Filed: August 8, 2001

Examiner:

For: PROCESS FOR QUALITY CONTROL AND STANDARDISATION OF MEDICINAL
PLANT PRODUCTS

* * * * *

August 8, 2001

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

In order to place the above-identified application in better condition for examination, please amend the application as follows:

IN THE CLAIMS

Please substitute the following amended claims for corresponding claims previously presented. A copy of the amended claims showing current revisions is attached.

4. (Amended) A process according to claim 1 wherein the combination of NMR spectroscopy and a computer-based pattern recognition technique comprises:

- (a) submitting the test solution or test extract to NMR spectroscopy and recording one or more NMR spectra; and

HYLANDS et al.
Serial No. Unknown

- (b) submitting the data obtained from the or each NMR spectrum to a multivariate analysis to generate one or more points on a score plot.
5. (Amended) A process according to claim 1 wherein the multivariate analysis is principal component analysis (PCA).
6. (Amended) A process according to claim 1 wherein the proteomics analysis comprises:
- (i) providing a target cell selected according to the clinical indication in which the medicinal plant is active and incubating the target cells with the test solution or test extract; and
 - (ii) subjecting the incubated cells to gel electrophoresis on a 2-D gel and observing the change in protein expression in the cells as a result of exposure to the said solution or extract.
7. (Amended) A process according to claim 1 which further comprises purifying the test solution or test extract of the sample of the medicinal plant material prior to carrying out the combination of NMR spectroscopy and computer-based pattern recognition.
8. (Amended) A process according to claim 1 wherein the medicinal plant material consists of, or is derived from, a whole plant, a part of a plant, a plant extract or a plant fraction.
12. (Amended) A process according to claim 1 wherein the plant material is derived from, or consists of, a mixture of two or more different plants.

HYLANDS et al.
Serial No. Unknown

15. (Amended) A process according to claim 1 wherein the sample of the medicinal plant material which possesses said the or each property desired for the standard is a sample of authenticated or audited plant material of which the provenance is known.


REMARKS

The above amendments are made to place the claims in a more traditional format. Attached hereto is a marked-up version of the changes made to the claims by the current amendment. The attached page is captioned "**Version With Markings To Show Changes Made.**"

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By: _____



Arthur R. Crawford
Reg. No. 25,327

ARC:ms
1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor
Arlington, VA 22201-4714
Telephone: (703) 816-4000
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100

HYLANDS et al.
Serial No. Unknown

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

IN THE CLAIMS

4. (Amended) A process according to [any one of the preceding claims] claim 1

wherein the combination of NMR spectroscopy and a computer-based pattern recognition technique comprises:

- (a) submitting the test solution or test extract to NMR spectroscopy and recording one or more NMR spectra; and
- (b) submitting the data obtained from the or each NMR spectrum to a multivariate analysis to generate one or more points on a score plot.

5. (Amended) A process according to [any one of the preceding claims] claim 1

wherein the multivariate analysis is principal component analysis (PCA).

6. (Amended) A process according to [any one of the preceding claims] claim 1

wherein the proteomics analysis comprises:

- (i) providing a target cell selected according to the clinical indication in which the medicinal plant is active and incubating the target cells with the test solution or test extract; and
- (ii) subjecting the incubated cells to gel electrophoresis on a 2-D gel and observing the change in protein expression in the cells as a result of exposure to the said solution or extract.

7. (Amended) A process according to [any one of the preceding claims] claim 1

which further comprises purifying the test solution or test extract of the sample of the

HYLANDS et al.
Serial No. Unknown

medicinal plant material prior to carrying out the combination of NMR spectroscopy and computer-based pattern recognition.

8. (Amended) A process according to [any one of the preceding claims] claim 1 wherein the medicinal plant material consists of, or is derived from, a whole plant, a part of a plant, a plant extract or a plant fraction.

12. (Amended) A process according to [any of the preceding claims] claim 1 wherein the plant material is derived from, or consists of, a mixture of two or more different plants.

15. (Amended) A process according to [any one of the preceding claims] claim 1 wherein the sample of the medicinal plant material which possesses said the or each property desired for the standard is a sample of authenticated or audited plant material of which the provenance is known.

PROCESS FOR QUALITY CONTROL AND STANDARDISATION OF
MEDICINAL PLANT PRODUCTS

5 The present invention relates to the use of NMR spectroscopy and biological profiling, in combination with computer-based statistical procedures, in the standardisation and quality control of medicinal plant materials.

Many societies around the world have developed, through the centuries, a system of traditional medicine relying largely on the use of plants and herbs as therapeutic substances. As used herein, the term "plant" encompasses plants,
10 including herbs, and fungi.

In recent years there has been a significant growth of interest amongst the general public in the direct use of plants and plant extracts as health modifying agents, for instance *Panax ginseng*, *Allium sativum* (garlic), *Ginkgo biloba*, *Hypericum perforatum* (St John's wort), *Echinacea angustifolia* and *Aloe vera*.
15 These are currently available on the market as herbal products and dietary supplements and annual sales of these products worldwide currently run to tens of billions of dollars. In spite of this marketing potential the mainstream pharmaceutical industry has not so far directed its attention to the development of medicinal products derived from plants. This is due in part to problems associated
20 with the complex nature and inherent non-uniformity of plant materials, including the lack of an established system by which drug regulatory approval for such products can be secured.

The materials used in herbal and plant based medicine are usually whole plants, parts of plants or extracts of plants or fungi. Since plant and fungal materials
25 contain many different chemical components the materials are, by definition, complex mixtures. This makes it very difficult to standardize and control the quality of the materials. Many of the remedies employed in traditional Chinese medicine and Ayurvedic medicine mentioned above are mixtures of two or more plant-based components. They are therefore effectively mixtures of mixtures and thus even more
30 difficult to analyse than herbal remedies based on a single plant material. Furthermore, the recipes and methods of manufacture used for such remedies

-2-

frequently remain undisclosed. These factors make it very difficult to ensure that two samples of a given remedy, obtained from disparate sources and ostensibly identical, do in fact contain the same mixture of ingredients.. This problem, which leads to difficulties in controlling the quality of such materials, has so far limited the acceptability of Eastern herbal remedies to Western herbal practitioners.

The plants used in the practice of herbal medicine are frequently unavailable locally and therefore need to be obtained from sources which are remote from the end user. However, the supply of such plants from remote locations can be erratic and inaccurate, particularly because no detailed monographs including identity and quality standards exist for many such plants. The complex mixture of ingredients found in medicinal plants will in any event vary widely in type and concentration depending on many factors including the botanical origin, the location where the plant is grown, the time of year when the plant is harvested, the conditions under which the material is stored and processed and the extraction procedure used. When these plants are in turn mixed with other plants, for instance according to traditional Chinese herbal recipes, there is considerable scope for variability in the resulting product.

It is virtually impossible at present to provide any assurance that samples of a given plant material obtained from disparate sources will possess a uniform identity and biological activity. The present invention addresses this problem by providing a means of standardising a medicinal plant material. The approach takes account of the totality of the components of the plant material without demanding any inquiry into the intrinsic nature of either the components themselves or the plant's biochemistry. It involves interrogating the consistency of a plant material on both a chemical level and a biological level.

Thus the process of the present invention provides a means of defining a standard for a given medicinal plant material on the basis of a known sample of the material which possesses the particular property desired for the standard. A specification for the standard is established by submitting the known sample to (a) a combination of NMR spectroscopy and a computer-based pattern recognition technique and (b) one or more biological profiling techniques, and defining the

results thus obtained as the standard specification. Subsequent "candidate" samples of the said plant material can then be tested for compliance with the standard. They can be accepted or rejected depending on whether they give analytical results which fall within or outside either part or all of the established specification.

5 The present invention accordingly provides a process for establishing a standard specification for a medicinal plant material, the process comprising:

- (i) preparing a test solution or test extract of a sample of the medicinal plant material which is known to possess the or each property desired for the standard;
- 10 (ii) submitting the said solution or extract to two or more analytical methods including (a) a combination of NMR spectroscopy and a computer-based pattern recognition technique, and (b) one or more biological profiling techniques;
- (iii) obtaining results from the analytical methods used in step (ii); and
- 15 (iv) defining a standard specification for the said plant material on the basis of the results obtained in step (iii).

The standard specification resulting from step (iv) is thus based on the results of NMR spectroscopy and computer-based pattern recognition as well as on the results of one or more biological profiling techniques. However, when candidate
20 samples of the medicinal plant material are tested for compliance with the standard they need not all be submitted to both the NMR spectroscopic analysis and the biological profiling. Rather, all candidate samples are submitted to NMR spectroscopy and pattern recognition whilst only selected candidate samples, for instance taken periodically from batches of the medicinal plant material, are tested
25 for compliance with the biological profiling aspect of the standard specification. The purpose of this is to rely principally on the analytical method which is best suited to convenient and fast operation on a high-throughput scale. This is the NMR spectroscopic and pattern recognition analysis. The biological techniques are typically used on a random basis for validation and reinforcement of the decisions
30 being made to accept or reject the candidate samples on the basis of the NMR spectroscopic and pattern recognition results.

Accordingly the invention further provides a process for providing a sample of a medicinal plant material, which sample complies with a previously defined standard specification for that plant material, the process comprising:

- (i') preparing a test solution or test extract of a candidate sample of the medicinal plant material;
- (ii') submitting the said solution or extract to analysis by a combination of NMR spectroscopy and a computer-based pattern recognition technique;
- (iii') obtaining results from the analysis of step (ii'); and
- (iv') selecting the candidate sample if the results obtained in step (iii') comply with the standard specification for the said material established in step (iv) of the process above.

This process is conveniently carried out on a high-throughput batch scale. Candidate samples are taken from batches of the same plant material and submitted to steps (i') to (iv'). When the candidate sample is selected for submission to biological profiling in addition to the NMR spectroscopic and pattern recognition analysis the above step (iv') is replaced by the following steps:

- (iv'a) submitting the solution or extract prepared in step (i') to one or more biological profiling techniques;
- (iv'b) obtaining results from the or each technique used in step (iv'a); and
- (iv'c) selecting the candidate sample if the results obtained in steps (iii') and (iv'b) comply with the standard specification for the said material as established in step (iv) of the process above.

The biological profiling techniques are discussed in more detail later on.

The "property desired for the standard " in the context of the present invention may be any property or quality possessed by, or attributed to, a medicinal plant material. Examples of this include a clinically proven therapeutic efficacy, a pharmaceutical grade quality, a particular variety of a given plant genus, an authenticated origin (in terms of either growth location or commercial batch) and a particular pathological state. The pathological state in question may be a given level of maturity, dictated for instance by the time of harvesting, or an established resistance to a parasite, herbicide, insecticide or other agent with potential for

damage to the plant in question.

In a preferred aspect of the invention the sample of medicinal plant material which is "known to possess the or each property desired for the standard" is a sample of authenticated and audited plant material of which the provenance is known. A standard specification is established by submitting that sample to NMR spectroscopy/pattern recognition and biological profiling as described above. Subsequent samples of the same plant material, the origin or quality of which is not known or is in doubt, can then be tested for compliance with the standard specification thus established for the authenticated and audited material.

Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR) is known by itself as an analytical tool in the investigation of plant materials. One example of its application is in the verification of the authenticity of drinks derived from fruit. In one approach hydrogen-2 NMR spectroscopy has been employed with the technique of site-specific natural isotope fractionation (SNIF-NMR) as a means of establishing the authenticity of fruit juices. For instance, in JAOAC Int. 1996 Jul-Aug, 79(4): 917-928 Martin *et al* describe the use of hydrogen-2 NMR spectroscopy (SNIF -NMR method) to detect fruit juices which have been adulterated with added beet sugar. The technique relies on the fact that, when a fruit juice or fruit concentrate is fermented, the proportion of the resulting ethanol molecules which are mono-deuterated on the methyl site decreases with the addition of beet sugar. Thus any fruit juice sample to which beet sugar has been added will have a significantly lower (D/H) isotope ratio than a corresponding authentic sample. This technique has also been applied to the detection of wine chaptalisation using hydrogen-2 NMR spectroscopy, as reported for example in J.Chim. Phys.-Chim Biol. 1983, vol 80, pp 293 - 297 by Martin *et al*.

Hydrogen-1 NMR spectroscopy cannot itself conveniently be applied to plant materials because it generates spectra that are too complicated to be analysed visually. A solution to this problem, reported for instance by Kowalski and Bender in J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 5632 - 5639, is to analyse the data by appropriate multivariate statistical analysis, for example principal component analysis (PCA). This is a technique of pattern recognition where the dimensionality of the data is reduced by combining correlated variables (peaks in the spectrum) to form a new

smaller set of independent orthogonal variables called principal components (PCs). These PCs are ordered according to their ability to explain the variance contained in the original data. A projection of the samples into a space spanned by the first PCs provides an insight into the similarity or dissimilarity of the samples with respect to their biochemical composition. Unknown or test samples can also be projected onto this space and can thus often visually be compared with the reference samples (Vogels *et al*, J. Agric. Food Chem. 44, 175 - 180, 1996).

The combination of hydrogen-1 NMR spectroscopy with pattern recognition techniques has been applied as a screening tool in determining the authenticity of orange juice (Vogels *et al*, 1996 *loc. cit.*). The adulteration of suspect samples could be detected by this means. The identity of the responsible contaminants was then determined by correlation of the PCA results with particular resonances present in the original NMR spectrum.

A combination of hydrogen-1 NMR spectroscopy and carbon-13 NMR spectroscopy with PCA has also been used to differentiate wines on the basis of their origin (Vogels *et al*, Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems: Laboratory Information Management, 21 (1993) 249 - 258). Discriminant plots of samples originating from different wine-producing regions in Germany showed clustering of the samples by origin in the discriminant space after a supervised method of statistical analysis. Subsequently, reconstructed spectra were prepared from the PCA data to reveal the NMR spectroscopic peaks of the particular wine constituents (for instance monosaccharides such as glucose, mannose, rhamnose and galactose) responsible for the differentiation. Similar studies are reported elsewhere, for instance by Vogels *et al* in Trends in Flavour Research, Maarse & Van de Heij (Eds), Elsevier, Amsterdam (1994) pp 99 - 106.

Another application of hydrogen-1 NMR spectroscopy and principal component analysis is reported by Trevisan *et al* in Chapter 8 of "Characterisation of cell suspension cultures of hop, *Humulus lupulus* L.", a thesis presented to the University of Leiden, the Netherlands, pages 95 - 122, published in 1997. The authors carried out hydrogen-1 NMR spectroscopy and PCA on treated cell extracts with the aim of identifying specific metabolites accumulated by the cells following

-7-

treatment. They were therefore interested in following specific peaks in the NMR spectrum which were known to be due to individual cell components.

In contrast to these reported methods the NMR spectroscopic and pattern recognition procedure employed in the process of the present invention requires
5 neither an investigation into the biochemistry of the plant being analysed nor a subsequent correlation of the pattern recognition results with particular NMR spectroscopic resonances attributed to specific component(s) of the plant material. Instead it relies upon the information presented by the inherent pattern of clusters derived from NMR data, those data in turn reflecting the totality of the compounds in
10 the plant material which respond to the NMR spectroscopic technique being used.

The NMR spectroscopy combined with computer-based pattern recognition (hereinafter termed NMR spectroscopy/pattern recognition) employed in the process of the invention typically comprises:

- (a) submitting the test solution or test extract to NMR spectroscopy and
15 recording one or more NMR spectra; and
- (b) submitting the data obtained from the or each NMR spectrum to a multivariate analysis to generate one or more points on a score plot.

A sphere of acceptability is typically defined around the point or points on the score plot generated in step (ii) above when the NMR spectroscopy/pattern
20 recognition analysis is being used to establish a standard specification for a medicinal plant material. That sphere then constitutes part of the specification. Candidate samples of the same material are subsequently accepted or rejected depending whether, when submitted to the NMR spectroscopy/pattern recognition analysis defined above, they give points in step (ii) which fall within or outside the sphere.

25 The NMR spectroscopy/pattern recognition can be used by itself as a way of standardising samples of medicinal plant materials. Accordingly, in one aspect the present invention provides a process for providing a sample of a medicinal plant material which complies with a previously established standard specification for that material, the process comprising:

- (i") preparing a test solution or test extract of a candidate sample of the said plant
30 material;

-8-

- (ii") submitting the test solution or test extract to NMR spectroscopy and recording one or more NMR spectra;
- (iii") submitting the data obtained from the or each said NMR spectrum to a multivariate analysis to generate one or more points on a score plot; and
- 5 (iv") selecting the candidate sample as a sample which complies with the said standard specification only if the points generated on the score plot in step (iii) fall within a sphere of acceptability as defined in the standard specification.

The standard specification in this aspect of the invention may be provided by
10 a process which comprises:

- (i") preparing a test solution or test extract of a sample of the said plant material which is known to possess the or each property desired for the standard;
- (ii") submitting the test solution or test extract to NMR spectroscopy and recording one or more spectra;
- 15 (iii") submitting the data obtained from the or each said NMR spectrum to a computer-based multi-variate analysis to generate one or more points on a score plot; and
- (iv") defining a sphere of acceptability around the points generated in step (iii") as the, or as part of the, standard specification for the said plant material.

20 In general multivariate analysis theory, the total data is the product of the scores multiplied by the loadings. The loadings plot can be used to define the contribution of each of the variables (spectral descriptors). A "score plot" is a graphic representation in which samples are projected into the space spanned by two or more principal component axes. Principal component analysis (PCA) is a
25 particular method used to analyse data included in a multivariate analysis. In PCA the position of the samples can be plotted in a score plot in two dimensions where similar samples will tend to form clusters while dissimilar samples will tend to spread over large distances (Kowalski & Bender, 1972, *loc. cit.* and Trevisan, 1997, *loc. cit.*).

30 The context in which the points are generated on the score plot in the process of the present invention must be the same when establishing the standard

specification as when analysing candidate samples for compliance with the standard. The components of the methodology used to establish the positioning of the point or points on the score plot for the known sample used to define the standard must be present when the NMR spectroscopic data from the candidate test samples are
5 processed. In practice the data derived from the NMR spectrum of the sample used as the standard are subjected to appropriate manipulation by multivariate statistical methods, for example principal component analysis or canonical variation, together with those of the standard. The sphere of acceptability is defined by limits in the score plot which have been established on the basis of the position in the score plot
10 of points derived from one or more extracts of the known sample. In a preferred aspect of the invention the multivariate analysis is performed using an unsupervised methodology.

The NMR spectroscopic technique used in the invention may involve carrying out hydrogen-1 NMR spectroscopy at high fields in combination with
15 multivariate analysis. In this particular aspect the NMR spectra are typically measured at 400 to 700 MHz. The data derived from them are then analysed by multivariate analysis software, for instance the commercially available "Pirouette" package.

Examples of the high resolution hydrogen-1 NMR spectroscopic and pattern
20 recognition analysis are discussed by M. L. Anthony *et al* in Biomarkers 1996, 1, 35-43 and Molecular Pharmacology 46, 199- 211, 1994, and by J.O.T. Gibb *et al* in Comp. Biochem. Physiol. vol. 118B No. 3, pp 587- 598, 1997.

As an alternative to 1-dimensional high field hydrogen-1 NMR spectroscopy,
25 1-dimensional NMR spectroscopy using other NMR-active nuclei such as carbon-13 or hydrogen-2 may be used in the present invention. It is also possible to use a range of 2-dimensional pulse sequence spectroscopic investigations with hydrogen-1 or other NMR-active nuclei such as those mentioned above. The same principles apply in each case, though, and the results are analysed by appropriate multivariate analysis.

30 The NMR spectra may be normalised or non-normalised before the computer-based pattern recognition is carried out. Normalisation has the effect of removing

peak intensity, which is a purely quantitative parameter of the spectra, as a discriminating factor. Normalisation is therefore typically carried out when the main objective of the procedure is to highlight qualitative differences between spectra obtained from different samples. However, in some cases peak intensity may be required as a discriminating factor when absolute quantitative values, for instance potency, are required. In such situations the spectra are non-normalised.

An important advantage of NMR spectroscopy/pattern recognition is that it is not limited by a selective delivery or detection system. Spectra can be recorded without prior purification of the test solution or test extract, thus allowing all components of the sample which possess a proton to contribute to the overall NMR spectrum. Analysis of the spectrum by the multivariate analytical techniques discussed above reveals potential valuable discriminating features of the spectra which can be used with a high degree of precision for the description of the complex mixtures of components contained in plant materials.

It is nonetheless possible in certain cases for the differentiation of samples of plant material on the score plot to be poor, with points deriving from identical samples of a given plant material being spread widely rather than forming a cluster. This loss of similarity arises when there is variation in the NMR spectroscopic shift values of individual components of the plant material. Such variation may be caused, for instance, by the presence of an overwhelmingly high concentration of one particular compound in the plant material or by the modifying effect of pH or metal ions which cause shift values to change. If this problem occurs the test solutions or test extracts of the plant material which are submitted to NMR spectroscopy may be pre-treated to remove the source of error and achieve better clustering in the score plot. In one aspect the process of the invention therefore includes the additional initial step of purifying the test solution or test extract of the candidate sample of plant material prior to submitting it to NMR spectroscopy.

Tea provides a convenient illustration of this principle. Signals due to caffeine dominate the hydrogen-1 NMR spectrum of tea and so when NMR spectroscopic results of tea are processed by multivariate analysis the points on the score plot for samples of the same tea do not form clusters. If caffeine is removed

from tea prior to carrying out NMR spectroscopy, for instance by reverse phase chromatography, proper clustering of the samples occurs and the similarities between like samples on the score plot become clear. This is illustrated in Example 2 and accompanying Figures 4A and 4B. Figure 4A is a score plot for untreated tea samples where clustering is indistinct. Figure 4B is a score plot for pre-treated tea
5 where, in contrast, there is clear clustering which allows positive discrimination.

The NMR spectroscopy/pattern recognition analysis is highly sensitive and has the capacity to differentiate samples of plant material which appear to be identical when analysed by other methodologies. This has again been illustrated
10 using tea. Comparative Example 1 describes the analysis by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) of extracts of two different types of tea. The resulting chromatograms are shown in accompanying Figures 2 and 3. One experiment used untreated samples (chromatogram A in each of Figures 2 and 3) and the other used a treated sample (chromatogram B in each Figure).

The Figures show first of all that HPLC does not clearly distinguish between treated and untreated samples of tea since chromatograms 2A and 2B are virtually identical, as are chromatograms 3A and 3B. Second, the Figures show that HPLC does not have the power to discriminate between different types of tea since the chromatograms of Figure 2 are virtually identical to those of Figure 3. In both these
15 respects HPLC contrasts with the NMR spectroscopy/pattern recognition technique used in the present invention as illustrated in Example 2 and the accompanying Figures 4A and 4B.
20

An important application of the process of the present invention is in the standardisation of mixtures of plant materials. Examples of such mixtures include
25 remedies from Traditional Chinese Medicine as discussed above. These are typically mixtures of several different plants and fungi prepared in accordance with recipes that may be many hundreds of years old. To date there has been no analytical technique by which producers of such materials could reliably and consistently differentiate their products from ostensibly identical products sold by competitors
30 under the same name. It has now surprisingly been found that the NMR spectroscopy/pattern recognition technique used in the present invention can provide

clear differentiation between samples of a given mixture of plant materials which are supposed to be identical but are obtained from different sources. This is illustrated in Example 5 and accompanying Figure 7. The process of the invention therefore allows mixtures of plant materials to be differentiated and standardised.

5 The combination of NMR spectroscopy/pattern recognition and biological profiling is frequently desirable since the complex mixture of compounds in a medicinal plant material may show an overall clinical effect which may derive principally from one particular component but which is considerably modified or potentiated by the presence of other components. Biological profiling can thus
10 provide a quantifiable measure of the biological effects of plants and plant extracts, thereby complementing and/or confirming the information obtained by the NMR spectroscopic and pattern recognition analysis.

 The biological profiling techniques used in the process of the invention contribute a means of quality controlling and standardising a desired sample of plant
15 material in terms of its biological activity. It is now recognised that the overall efficacy of plant based medicines does not solely derive from a single active component but is due also to auxiliary compounds which are present in the complex mixture of substances in the plant. The biological profiling techniques used in the process of the invention allow synergistic effects exerted by these auxiliary
20 compounds to be studied without the need to identify the compounds themselves. The synergistic effects which may be observed include, for instance, potentiation of the activity of the principal component, enhancement of the selectivity or bioavailability of the therapeutic substance and suppression of unwanted side effects. This aspect of the biological profiling is particularly useful for substantiating the claim that the use
25 of a whole plant or plant extract in therapy is more beneficial than the use of single components isolated from the plant.

 A preferred biological profiling technique is protein analysis, particularly proteomics. This is because changes in protein expression represent the ultimate biological effect irrespective of the particular mechanism of action such as enzyme
30 inhibition, receptor binding inhibition or signal transduction modulation. The term proteome describes the complete set of proteins that is expressed, and modified

following expression, by the entire genome in the lifetime of a cell. Proteomics is the study of the proteome using technologies of large-scale protein separation and identification (Nature, vol 402, 1999, p.715). A proteomics analysis is illustrated in Example 6 which follows.

- 5 Accordingly, in a preferred aspect of the present invention the biological profiling technique comprises:
- (i) providing a target cell selected according to the clinical indication in which the medicinal plant material is active and incubating the target cells with a candidate sample of the said material; and
 - 10 (ii) subjecting the incubated cells to gel electrophoresis on a 2-D gel and observing the change in protein expression in the cells as a result of exposure to the test sample.

 In the process of the invention the above steps (i) and (ii) are carried out on a sample of the plant material which possesses the or each property desired for the
15 standard. The overall pattern of change in protein expression observed in step (ii) is defined as part of the standard specification for that plant material. Candidate samples of the same plant material may then be accepted or rejected depending whether they give the same pattern when submitted to the above steps (i) and (ii).

 An appropriate target cell is selected in the first step according to the clinical
20 indication, or disease, which it is desired to model. Following incubation of the cell with the test sample, the proteins in the target cell are separated into individual proteins by two dimensional electrophoresis. Detection and analysis of the resulting protein patterns is typically undertaken using computerized image analysis techniques, and proteins are identified using microsequencing and mass
25 spectroscopy. The results may, if desired, be submitted to computer-based pattern recognition procedures such as principal component analysis, as described above. Changes in protein expression which are detected following incubation of the target cell with the test sample are then compared with the corresponding changes detected following incubation of the target cell with a known sample which has already been
30 shown to possess activity against the clinical indication in question.

 The potency of the test sample can be related to the potency of a

-14-

pharmaceutical grade standard by comparing the concentration of the test sample necessary to produce the response of the standard.

A further biological profiling technique is a receptor binding or enzyme inhibition assay. This can give a quantifiable measure of the biological activity of a plant material. Such an assay may be conducted in accordance with a conventional assay protocol. One example of a suitable assay is a method for screening a plant material as a candidate for the treatment or prophylaxis of cancer or inflammation, the method comprising determining whether the substance suppresses the stimulation of a gene promoter which has been implicated in carcinogenesis or inflammation.

In the process of the invention the plant material typically consists of, or is derived from, a whole plant, a part of a plant, a plant extract or a plant fraction. Preferably the material consists of, or is derived from, one or more of the roots, leaves, buds, flowers, fruit, juice and seeds of a plant.

The inherent variability of plant materials presents a particular problem to the drug regulatory authorities who need to be convinced that a candidate product for pharmaceutical licencing is of a consistent and verifiable quality. The reason for this is that the effectiveness of dosage levels and treatment protocols need to be guaranteed. However, there is no reliable system available at present which allows the identity and activity of a plant based product to be measured against an accepted standard and which is universally applicable to all kinds of plant material. In one aspect, therefore, the invention as defined above represents a solution to that problem and provides a means of establishing a pharmaceutical grade standard for a therapeutic substance which is derived from, or consists of, a plant material. In this aspect the process comprises:

- (i) preparing a test solution or test extract of a sample of the said therapeutic substance which is known to be of the desired pharmaceutical grade;
- (ii) submitting the test solution or extract to two or more analytical methods including (a) NMR spectroscopy combined with a computer-based pattern recognition technique, and (b) one or more biological profiling techniques;
- (iii) obtaining results from the analytical methods in step (ii); and
- (iv) defining the pharmaceutical grade standard specification on the basis of the

-15-

results obtained in step (iii).

The invention further provides a process for producing a pharmaceutical grade therapeutic substance which is derived from, or consists of, a plant material, the process comprising;

- 5 (i') preparing a test solution or test extract of a candidate sample of the therapeutic substance;
- (ii') submitting the said solution or extract to analysis by a combination of NMR spectroscopy and a computer-based pattern recognition technique;
- (iii') obtaining results from the analysis of step (ii'); and
- 10 (iv') selecting the therapeutic substance as being of pharmaceutical if the results obtained in step (iii') comply with the specification for the pharmaceutical grade standard established in step (iv) above.

When the candidate sample is selected for submission to biological profiling in addition to the NMR spectroscopic and pattern recognition analysis the above step

- 15 (iv') is replaced by the following steps:
- (iv'a) submitting the solution or extract prepared in step (i') to one or more biological profiling techniques;
- (iv'b) obtaining results from the or each technique used in step (iv'a); and
- (iv'c) selecting the therapeutic substance as being of pharmaceutical grade if the
- 20 results obtained in steps (iii') and (iv'b) comply with the specification for the pharmaceutical grade standard established in step (iv) of the process above.

In these embodiments the NMR spectroscopy, pattern recognition and biological profiling may all be performed as described earlier in the specification.

- 25 The invention will be further illustrated in the following Examples with reference to the accompanying Figures, in which:

Figure 1 is a principal component analysis (PCA) score plot of factor 3 (y axis) against factor 2 (x axis) for six samples of *Panax ginseng* obtained from different suppliers as described in Example 1. The symbols used in the figure are as

- 30 follows: * = supplier 1; • = supplier 2; + = supplier 3; ▽ = supplier 4;

-16-

□ = supplier 5; and ⊗ = supplier 6.

Figure 2 shows two HPLC chromatograms for Kemmun tea, using a system optimised for the separation of catechins as described in Comparative Example 1, in which A represents an untreated tea sample and B represents a tea sample which has been previously treated by passage through a solid phase extraction column.

Figure 3 shows two HPLC chromatograms for Lapsang Souchong tea, using a system optimised for the separation of catechins as described in Comparative Example 1, in which A represents an untreated tea sample and B represents a tea sample which has been previously treated by passage through a solid phase extraction column.

Figures 4A and 4B are PCA score plots of factor 2 (yaxis) against factor 1 (x axis) for six different types of tea, obtained in Example 2 which follows. The symbols used in the figure for each type of tea are as follows:

□ = Oolong; + = Kemmun; ▽ = Lapsang Souchong; • = Darjeeling;
* = Gunpowder; ♦ = Assam.

Figure 5 is a PCA score plot of factor 3 (y axis) against factor 1 (x axis) for the five different commercial samples of *Tanacetum parthenium* (feverfew) capsules obtained in Example 3. The symbols used for each sample are as follows: ⊗ = sample 1; * = sample 2; □ = sample 3; • = sample 4; and ▽ = sample 5.

Figure 6 is a PCA score plot of factor 2 (y axis) against factor 1 (x axis) for the seven samples of *Tanacetum parthenium* harvested at different intervals after planting out, as described in Example 4. The symbols used are as follows:
• = sample T0; ▽ = sample T1; ⊗ = sample T2; * = sample T3; + = sample T4;
□ = sample T5; and ♣ = sample T6.

Figure 7 is a PCA score plot of factor 2 (y axis) against factor 1 (x axis) for

the Traditional Chinese Medicine remedy analysed in Example 5. The symbols used for each sample are as follows: • = supplier 1; * = supplier 2; and + = supplier 3.

5 Figure 8 is a 2-D gel protein profile (silver stain) of IBR3 human dermal fibroblasts, obtained from the assay of Example 6 which follows, wherein A is an untreated control sample and B is a sample 72 hours after treatment with a 10 µg/mL extract of *Buddleja globosa*.

10 Figure 9 is a 2D gel protein profile (silver stain) of IBR3 human dermal fibroblasts obtained from the assay of Example 6 which follows wherein A is untreated control sample and B is a sample 72 hours after treatment with a 10µg/mL extract of *Buddleja globosa*.

15 **Example 1: Use of NMR Spectroscopic and Multivariate Analysis to discriminate between sources of *Panax ginseng***

Preparation of extracts

20 Samples of white Ginseng were obtained from six different commercial suppliers. White Ginseng is derived from the root of *Panax ginseng* C.A. Meyer. The root is put in boiling water briefly and then soaked in the sugar juice. It is subsequently exposed and dried in the sun. White Ginseng is also known as Sugar Ginseng.

25 The dried plant root material was ground to a fine powder using an "Illico" blender for five minutes. 1g of the powder was mixed with 20mL of cold water and stirred continuously for 2 hours on a shaker at 150 rpm at room temperature (22°C). The extract was filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper, the filtrate collected and freeze-dried overnight. 10mg of the sample was dissolved in 1 mL of deuterated water for NMR spectroscopic analysis. 800 µL were used for NMR spectroscopic analysis and samples were referenced internally to TSP at 0.00 ppm.

Protocol for NMR spectroscopy

Hydrogen-1 NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX 600 Spectrometer operating at 600.13 MHz for the proton frequency, fitted with a BEST flow probe. Spectra were a result of 64 scans of 20 ppm sweep width and were collected into 49152 data points. Acquisition time per scan was 2.0 seconds. Prior to transformation, a line broadening of 0.3 Hz was applied and the spectra were Fourier transformed. Referencing was to TSP at 0.00 ppm. NMR spectra were analysed using AMIX software to reduce the spectra into "histograms" containing buckets of 0.4 ppm width.

Principal component analysis

The resulting file was opened in Excel where normalisation was performed using the sum of the entire spectrum. The data resulting from this was then subjected to multivariate analysis using the Pirouette software package. Unsupervised PCA was performed using mean centring (and autoscaling).

Results

The data were converted into points on a PCA score plot. This is Figure 1 attached. The figure clearly shows six distinct clusters of points attributable to each of the *Panax ginseng* samples, thereby illustrating that the technique provides a means of discrimination between ostensibly identical samples of a given plant material.

Comparative Example 1: HPLC analysis of extracts of tea

The teas used were Kemmun and Lapsang Souchong. Extracts of each for HPLC analysis were prepared as follows:

Untreated samples

Dried commercial tea sample (50 g) was ground to a fine powder for approximately 1 minute using a Moulinex 'Illico' blender, to produce a

-19-

homogeneous sample. 5g of the powder was removed and placed in a 100 mL glass conical flask at room temperature (22°C). 50 mL of boiling distilled water was poured over the tea sample which was stirred with a plastic rod and left at room temperature for 30 minutes. The extract was filtered through a Whatman No. 1 filter paper, the filtrate collected and freeze dried overnight. 10 mg of the sample were dissolved in 1 mL of distilled water.

HPLC equipment: Hewlett Packard series II 1090 Liquid Chromatograph

HPLC column: C18 RP Hypersil 5 μ , 150 x 4.6 mm

HPLC mobile phase: Methanol: Water: Orthophosphoric acid 20:79.9:0.1 v/v, flow rate 0.9 ml/minute, absorption wavelength 210nm.

The resulting chromatograms are accompanying Figures 2A (Kemmun) and 3A (Lapsang Souchong).

Treated samples

Dried sea sample (50g) was ground to a fine powder for approximately 1 minute using a Moulinex "Illico" blender, to produce a homogenous sample, 50 mL of boiling distilled water was poured over the tea sample which was stirred with a plastic rod and left at room temperature for 30 minutes. The extract was filtered through a Whatman No. 1 filter paper, the filtrate collected and freeze dried overnight. 10 mg of the sample was dissolved in 1 mL of distilled water which was run through a Reverse Phase (RP) C18 Isolute^R column under vacuum. 20 μ L were used for the HPLC analysis, following the method described above for the untreated samples.

The resulting chromatograms are accompanying Figures 2B (Kemmun) and 3B (Lapsang Souchong). The Figures show that HPLC does not clearly distinguish between treated and untreated samples of tea since chromatograms 2A and 2B are virtually identical, as are chromatograms 3A and 3B. The Figures also show that HPLC does not have the power to discriminate between different types of tea since the chromatograms of Figure 2 are virtually identical to those of Figure 3.

Example 2: Use of NMR Spectroscopy and Multivariate analysis to discriminate between types of tea

The teas used were Gunpowder, Darjeeling, Kemun, Assam, Lapsang
5 Souchong and Oolong. Untreated and treated samples of these teas were prepared as described in Comparative Example 1.

Protocol for NMR spectroscopy and Principal Component Analysis

The 10 mg tea samples were dissolved in 1 mL of deuterated water (D_2O).
10 800 μL were used for NMR spectroscopic analysis and samples were referenced internally to TSP at 0.00ppm. The procedure described in Example 1 was carried out on each of the tea extracts.

Results

15 The data were converted into points on two score plots. These are Figures 4A and 4B attached. Figure 4B shows six distinct clusters of points attributable to each of the tea extracts following treatment, whilst Figure 4A shows that before treatment clustering was poor.

The discriminating power of the NMR spectroscopy /PCA technique is
20 demonstrated, contrasting strongly with the inability of HPLC to distinguish tea types as shown in Comparative Example 1.

Example 3 Use of NMR Spectroscopy and Multivariate Analysis to discriminate between commercial samples of a herbal remedy

Preparation of extracts

Commercially available capsules of feverfew (*Tanacetum parthenium*) were
obtained from three different manufacturers. The products were ostensibly identical,
being hard gelatin capsules containing a 'standardised' feverfew powder.

30 Five samples, each consisting of 10 capsules were taken. One sample was taken from manufacturer A. Two samples, each bearing the same batch number,

-21-

were taken from manufacturer B. Two samples, each bearing a different batch number, were from manufacturer 3.

Sample No.	Manufacturer	Batch No.	Capsule content
1	A	I	500mg
2	B	II	384mg
3	B	II	384mg
4	C	III	380mg
5	C	IV	380mg

The capsule contents from each sample were placed in a Moulinex "Illico" blender and the material ground to a fine powder for two minutes to produce a homogeneous sample. Water extracts were prepared for NMR spectroscopic analysis by dissolving 500 mg of the powder in 50 mL cold water and stirring the extract for four hours on a shaker at 150 rpm at room temperature (22°C). The extract was filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper, the filtrate collected and freeze dried overnight.

Protocol for NMR spectroscopy and Principal Component Analysis

The procedure described in Example 1 was carried out on each extract.

Results

The data were converted into points on a score plot (mean-centred). This is Figure 5 attached, in which each point corresponds to one capsule. The plot shows that samples 1, 4 and 5 gave good clustering that reflects consistency between individual capsules in those batches of products. Samples 2 and 3 gave poorer clustering, reflecting greater variability in those particular products from capsule to capsule. The plot also highlights distinct differences between different batches of one product from one manufacturer (samples 4 and 5) which are claimed to be identical.

Example 4: Use of NMR spectroscopy and Principal Component Analysis to discriminate between samples of *Tanacetum parthenium* of differing maturity

Cultivation and harvesting of plant samples

5 The medicinal plant species *Tanacetum parthenium* (Feverfew) was grown in the UK from warranted seed obtained from C.N. Seeds, Ely, UK. Seeds were sown on 18th April and raised under glass. Plantlets emerged on 25th April and were planted out on 24th May in plots laid out on a grid basis to provide a randomised sampling regime.

10 Five samples consisting of 4 plants each were harvested from the plots for analysis at different intervals after planting out. Two additional samples were taken at final harvesting, stored frozen and analysed at one and two month intervals post-harvest. The dates of harvesting of the samples were as follows:

Sample No	Date of harvesting
T0	30 th June
T1	14 th July
T2	4 th August
T3	19 th August
T4	18 th September
T5	18 th September and stored to 13 th October
T6	18 th September and stored to 10 th November

Preparation of extracts

25 Dried plant material was collected and immediately placed in a freezer at -20°C. After freezing, the material was placed in a freeze drier for 12 hours after which samples were checked to ensure they were dry. The material was placed in a Moulinex "Illico" blender, and the material ground to a fine powder for two minutes to produce a homogeneous sample. Water extracts were prepared for NMR spectroscopic analysis by dissolving 500 mg of plant material in 50 mL cold water and stirring the extract for four hours on a shaker at 150 rpm at room temperature

30

-23-

(22°C). The extract was filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper, the filtrate collected and freeze dried overnight.

Protocol for NMR spectroscopy and Principal Component Analysis

5 10mg of the sample as described above was dissolved in 1 mL of deuterated water for NMR spectroscopic analysis. 800 μ L were used for NMR spectroscopic analysis and samples were referenced internally to TSP at 0.00 ppm. The procedure described in Example 1 was followed.

10 Results

 The data were converted into points on a PCA score plot (mean-centred). This is Figure 6 attached. There is a clear clustering of points relating to the different samples. The results demonstrate that metabolic changes in a plant, for instance as manifested in the maturing process, can be represented on a PCA map. The process of the invention thus provides a means of discriminating between samples of a given plant material having different physiological states, in this case of differing maturity levels.

20 Example 5: Use of NMR Spectroscopy and Multivariate Analysis to distinguish between samples of a mixture of plant materials

 The Traditional Chinese Medicine remedy known as *Liu Wei Huang Wan*, which contains six plant ingredients, was obtained from three different suppliers (denoted 1, 2 and 3).

25

Preparation of extracts

 100 tablets from each supplier were separated into groups of 10 and weighed. They were then crushed with a pestle and mortar and 1g of the resulting powder was transferred to a glass flask. Cold water (100mL) was then poured over the powder and the mixture was refluxed for 30 minutes. The solution was cooled, filtered and freeze dried.

30

NMR spectroscopy and Principal Component Analysis

10mg of each sample prepared as described above was removed and dissolved in 1mL of deuterated water. The procedure described in Example 1 was carried out.

Results

The data were converted into points on a PCA score plot (mean-centred). This is Figure 7 attached. There is a clear clustering of points corresponding to samples from the three suppliers. These results demonstrate that the process of the invention can successfully discriminate between samples of multi-component plant materials which are ostensibly identical.

Reference Example 1: Preparation of test extract of *Buddleja* spp and determination of the effect of *Buddleja globosa* on Human Dermal Fibroblast growth

Buddleja globosa is a plant reported to possess wound healing properties, for which it has been used clinically in the practice of herbal medicine. In order to confirm that the plant possesses a biological activity relevant to this use, thereby justifying the choice of it as a candidate for the proteomics analysis used in Example 6, an assay to determine whether it could stimulate cell growth *in vitro* was carried out as described by Hughes and Cherry (1997) in Wound Repair and Regeneration 5: 159 - 167. For comparative purposes a different species of the same plant genus (*Buddleja davidii*), to which wound healing properties have to a lesser extent been attributed, was also tested. Grass was used as a control.

Preparation of extracts

Fresh leaves (50 g) of *Buddleja globosa*, *Buddleja davidii* and grass were heated separately for one hour under reflux in one litre of distilled water. The extract was cooled, filtered through a Whatman No.1 filter and the filtrate collected and freeze dried for 12 hours. Dried extract, (10 mg), was dissolved in water (1 mL)

-25-

and filtered through a 0.2 μ filter. The extract was diluted 1:1000 into Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) media to produce a solution with a final concentration of 10 μ g/ml. This solution was diluted 1:1 with DMEM to produce a 5 mg/ml solution.

Human Dermal Fibroblast assay

Human dermal fibroblasts, (HDFs), derived from post auricular surgery, were cultured at 37°C in 5% CO₂ in Dulbeccos Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM), supplemented with 10% Fetal Calf Serum (FCS), 0.02% fungizone and 1% penicillin and 2% streptomycin. HDFs were grown to confluence for 1-5 days and were removed from the culture flask using 0.025% trypsin/EDTA after washing with Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS). HDFs were decanted into a 50 mL sterile universal and re-suspended in 50 mls of DMEM after which they were centrifuged at 2700 rpm for 5 minutes. HDFs were re-suspended in 10 mLs of DMEM and counted using a haemocytometer. HDFs were seeded in DMEM containing 10% FBS into the plate 24 hours prior to use in the assay. A seeding density of 4×10^3 cells/microtitre well used in the assay.

The medium was removed by aspiration 24 hours later. The *Buddleja globosa* extract was initially dissolved in water at a concentration of 10 mg/ml then diluted into DMEM containing 0.5% FCS (0.5% FCS is the maintenance level for HF growth, 10% is stimulatory) at final concentrations of 5, 10 and 100 μ g/ml, (n=8 for control and treatments).

Extracts were added to the plate in 200 μ L of medium after filtration through a 0.2 μ sterile filter. Cells remained in contact with the extracts for 24 and 72 hours after addition of the Buddleja extract. They were collected at these two time points at each of the above three different concentrations. They were analysed using the Neutral Red assay described below.

Neutral Red assay

1.2mL of Neutral Red dye were added to 78.8mL of Hanks' Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS). This was incubated for 10 minutes at 37°C after which it was

-26-

- centrifuged at 2600 rpm for 5 minutes. 100 μ L were added to each well and the plates were incubated for 2.5 hours after which the medium was decanted and the cells washed firstly with 100 μ L of 1% formic acid followed by 100 μ L of 1% acetic acid. The microtitre plate was placed on an AnthosHill plate reader, shaken for 15 seconds and the absorbance of the residual cells was read at 550 nm. Percentage growth was determined by comparing the absorbance values (which are converted to percentage growth) of the cells plus extract with those of the control (0.5%).

Results

- 10 Table: The effects of plant extracts on IBR3 human dermal fibroblast (HDF) growth.

5 μ g/mL	Absorbance @ 550 nm		
	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3
<i>Buddleja globosa</i> #1	0.118	0.14	0.18
<i>Buddleja globosa</i> #2	0.115	0.168	0.19
<i>Buddleja davidii</i>	0.13	0.137	0.19
Grass	0.135	0.14	0.2
0.5% FCS	0.18	0.21	0.24
10% FCS	0.21	0.3	0.32

20

10 μ g/mL	Absorbance @ 550 nm		
	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3
<i>Buddleja globosa</i> #1	0.235	0.25	0.26
<i>Buddleja globosa</i> #2	0.115	0.165	0.17
<i>Buddleja davidii</i>	0.14	0.182	0.2
Grass	0.14	0.151	0.19
0.5% FCS	0.18	0.21	0.24
10% FCS	0.21	0.3	0.32

25

-27-

100µg/mL	Absorbance @ 550 nm		
	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3
<i>Buddleja globosa</i> #1	0.14	0.142	0.142
<i>Buddleja globosa</i> #2	0.148	0.151	0.125
<i>Buddleja davidii</i>	0.12	0.1	0.15
Grass	0.138	0.18	0.21
0.5% FCS	0.18	0.21	0.24
10% FCS	0.21	0.3	0.32

These results demonstrate that *Buddleja globosa* shows a stimulatory effect on the growth of human dermal fibroblast cells at a medium concentration of 5µg/ml and 10µg/ml and a lesser effect at 100 µg/ml. The effect was seen to be maintained over 72 hours. The effect at the lower concentrations was reproducible since two different extracts of the same plant species gave comparable results. In contrast, *Buddleja davidii* was shown to have an inferior effect. The activity is thus species-dependent, confirming the value of ethno-botanical anecdotal information in selecting likely candidates for plant extract development. The control, grass, had a considerably reduced effect.

Since *Buddleja globosa* is effective in promoting the growth of human fibroblasts it is a suitable candidate plant extract to model the protein analysis technique described in Example 6 which follows. The results of this *in vitro* assay also validate the selection of IBR3 HDf as an appropriate target cell line for the protein analysis.

Example 6: Proteomic analysis of the effect of *Buddleja globosa* on protein expression in Human Dermal Fibroblast cells

Materials and method

Cell culture

IBR3 human dermal fibroblasts were cultured in DMEM + 10% FCS (Sigma) using T&S flasks (TPP plastics). Approximately 50 mg of a dried extract of *Buddleja globosa* was dissolved in 5 mL double distilled H₂O to create a 10 mg/mL stock solution. The stock solution was filtered through a 0.2 µm filter and 5 µL was diluted in 5 mL DMEM + 10% FCS (i.e. 1:1000 dilution) to give a 10 µg/mL solution. This was diluted 1:1 in DMEM + 10% FCS to give a 5 µg/mL solution.

One T75 flask was used for each of the following extract test concentrations: 0 µg/mL, 5 µg/mL, 10 µg/mL and cells were incubated for 24 hours or 3 days (total number of flasks = 6).

A six-well plate (Costar) was employed for radiolabelled cultures and 2 wells per extract concentration (0 µg/mL, 5 µg/mL, 10 µg/mL) were used. [³⁵S]-labelled methionine, 250 µCi per well, was added to methionine-free media and the cells were incubated for 24 hours. All incubations were carried out in a CO₂ incubator at 37 °C.

2-D Electrophoresis

Following incubation, cells in the T75 flasks were washed with 2 x 5 mL PBS and 1 x 5 mL 0.35 sucrose and collected into 200 µL lysis buffer (9.5 M urea, 1 % DTT, 2% CHAPS, 0.8% Pharmalyte pH 3-10 [Pharmacia]). Cells in each well of the 6-well plate were washed with 2 x 2 mL PBS, 1 x 2 mL 0.35 M sucrose and collected into 35 µL lysis buffer. All samples were stored at -20°C until used.

Protein concentrations were determined using a modified Bradford assay and radioactivity was determined by scintillation counting. It was necessary to perform an acetone precipitation with the unlabelled cells due to low amounts of protein. Following this step, protein concentrations were within assay parameters and permitted an accurate loading of sample.

Isoelectric focusing

Fifty micrograms of unlabelled protein of 1x10⁶ CPM labelled protein was added to reselling solution (8 M urea, 0.5% CHAPS, 0.2% Pharmalyte pH 3-10 [Pharmacia]) to a final volume of 450 µL and used to reswell immobilised pH

-29-

gradient (IPG) strips (i.e. in-gel dehydration). For each extract condition, IPG strips covering the ranges pH 3-10 NL (non-linear) and pH 4-7L (linear) were used. Following overnight reswelling, the IPGs were focussed for 60 kVhrs at 0.05 mA/strip, 20°C.

5

2-D Electrophoresis

Before the focussed IPGs were run in the second dimension, the strips were prepared for 2-D by equilibrating in buffer (1.5 M Tris [pH 8.8], 6 M urea, 30% glycerol, 2% SDS, 0.01% bromophenol blue) containing 1% dithiothreitol (DTT) for 15 minutes, followed by another 15 minutes in buffer containing 4.8% iodoacetamide (IAA).

10

SDS-PAGE was performed overnight (20 mA/gel, 10°C) using 12% T/2.6%C separating gels in a Hoefer DALT system.

15

Visualisation

20

Once the second dimension had been run gels were placed into a protein fixing solution (50% methanol, 10% acetic acid) overnight and strained using a silver staining kit (Daiichi) the following day. Radioactive gels were similarly fixed but not silver stained and placed in a "gel-drying" solution (3% glycerol, 30% methanol) overnight. The gels were then dried-down onto 3 MM paper (Whatman) using a Savant gel dryer and exposed to BioMax film (Kodak) for a total of 10 days. The films were developed in an AGFA processing machine.

25

After silver-staining or film development the gels and films were scanned at 100 µm resolution using a Molecular Dynamics Personal Densitometer SI and the images were viewed using ImageQuant (Molecular Dynamics). Computer analysis was performed using PDQuest (Bio-Rad) which facilitates the alignment, landmarking, matching and subsequent quantitative analysis of the images.

30

24 hr time point:

- (1) Cells radiolabelled with 250 µCi [³⁵S]-methionine
- (2) Unlabelled cells for silver staining (2 x 75 cm² flasks for each sample).

-30-

72 hour time point: (1) Unlabelled cells for silver staining (2 x 75 cm² flasks for each sample).

2-DE: First dimension:

5 IPG strips covering two different pH ranges were used

(1) pH 3-10 NL strips

(2) pH 4-7 strips.

Second dimension:

10 12% SDS-PAGE

Sample loading: 50µg protein for silver staining
10⁶ cpm for autoradiography

15 Results

The effect of the *Buddleja globosa* extract on protein expression by the human dermal fibroblasts is shown in the following Table :

<i>Buddleja globosa</i> extract (µg/mL)	24 hours spots increased > 2-fold	24 hours spots decreased >2-fold	3 days spots increased > 2-fold	3-days spots decreased > 2-fold
5	19	24	31	39
10	33	51	39	32

25 Total number of protein spots matched: 850

The accompanying Figures 8 and 9 show examples of protein spots showing altered patterns of expression in response to the *Buddleja globosa* extract. Figure 8 illustrates a region where proteins are decreased following treatment with the 10 µg/mL extract after 72 hours whilst Figure 9 illustrates a region where proteins are

-31-

increased following treatment with the 10 µg/ml extract after 72 hours. In each figure A represents an untreated control and B represents the treated sample.

The results demonstrate that the technique of protein analysis can be used to confirm the presence of a relevant biological activity in a concentration-dependent manner. *Buddleja globosa* was shown in this context to be effective in altering protein expression in Human Dermal Fibroblast cells.

10

15

20

25

30

-32-

CLAIMS

1. A process of establishing a standard specification for a medicinal plant material, the process comprising:

- 5 (i) preparing a test solution or test extract of a sample of the medicinal plant material which is known to possess the or each property required for the standard;
- (ii) submitting the said solution or extract to two or more analytical methods including (a) a combination of NMR spectroscopy and a
10 computer-based pattern recognition technique, and (b) one or more biological profiling techniques which provide a quantifiable measure of the biological effect of the plant and which include a proteomics analysis;
- (iii) obtaining results from the analytical methods used in step (ii); and
15 (iv) defining a standard specification for the said plant material on the basis of the results obtained in step (iii);

the process being conducted such that the NMR data reflect the totality of the compounds in the plant material which respond to the NMR technique being used.

20 2. A process of providing a sample of a medicinal plant material, which sample complies with a standard specification for that material which has been defined by the process of claim 1, the process comprising:

- (i') preparing a test solution or test extract of a candidate sample of the medicinal plant material;
- 25 (ii') submitting the said solution or extract to analysis by a combination of NMR spectroscopy and a computer-based pattern recognition technique;
- (iii') obtaining results from the analysis of step (ii'); and
- (iv) selecting the candidate sample if the results in step (iii') comply with

AMENDED SHEET
IPEA/EP

-33-

the standard specification for the said material established in step (iv) of the process defined in claim 1;
the process being conducted such that the NMR data reflect the totality of the compounds in the plant material which respond to the NMR technique being used.

- 5 3. A process according to claim 2 wherein step (iv') is replaced by:
- (iv'a) submitting the solution or extract prepared in step (i') to one or more biological profiling techniques which provide a quantifiable measure of the biological effect of the plant and which include a proteomics analysis;
 - 10 (iv'b) obtaining results from the or each technique used in step (iv'a); and
 - (iv'c) selecting the candidate sample if the results obtained in step (iii') defined in claim 2 and step (iv'b) above comply with the standard specification for the said material as established in step (iv) of the process defined in claim 1.
- 15 4. A process according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the combination of NMR spectroscopy and a computer-based pattern recognition technique comprises:
- 20 (a) submitting the test solution or test extract to NMR spectroscopy and recording one or more NMR spectra; and
 - (b) submitting the data obtained from the or each NMR spectrum to a multivariate analysis to generate one or more points on a score plot.
5. A process according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the
- 25 multivariate analysis is principal component analysis (PCA).
6. A process according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the proteomics analysis comprises:
- (i) providing a target cell selected according to the clinical indication in

AMENDED SHEET
IPEA/EP

-34-

which the medicinal plant is active and incubating the target cells with the test solution or test extract; and

- (ii) subjecting the incubated cells to gel electrophoresis on a 2-D gel and observing the change in protein expression in the cells as a result of exposure to the said solution or extract.

5

7. A process according to any one of the preceding claims which further comprises purifying the test solution or test extract of the sample of the medicinal plant material prior to carrying out the combination of NMR spectroscopy and computer-based pattern recognition.

10

8. A process according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the medicinal plant material consists of, or is derived from, a whole plant, a part of a plant, a plant extract or a plant fraction.

15 9. A process of providing a standard specification for a medicinal plant material, the process comprising:

(i") preparing a test solution or test extract of a sample of the said plant material which is known to possess the or each property desired for the standard;

20 (ii") submitting the test solution or test extract to NMR spectroscopy and recording one or more spectra;

(iii") submitting the data obtained from the or each said NMR spectrum to a multi-variate analysis to generate one or more points on a score plot; and

25 (iv") defining a sphere of acceptability around the points generated in step (iii") as the, or as part of the, standard specification for the said plant material;

the process being conducted such that the NMR data reflect the totality of the compounds in the plant material; which respond to the NMR technique being used.

AMENDED SHEET
IPEA/EP

-35-

10. A process according to claim 9 wherein the multivariate analysis of step (iii") is performed using an unsupervised methodology.

11. A process of providing a sample of a medicinal plant material, which sample complies with a standard specification for that material which has been established

5 by the process of claim 9, the process comprising:

(i") preparing a test solution or test extract of a candidate sample of the said plant material;

(ii") submitting the test solution or test extract to NMR spectroscopy and recording one or more NMR spectra;

10 (iii") submitting the data obtained from the or each said NMR spectrum to a multivariate analysis to generate one or more points on a score plot; and

(iv") selecting the candidate sample as a sample which complies with the said standard specification only if the points generated on the score plot in step (iii") fall within a sphere of acceptability as defined in the standard specification established in step (iv") of the process defined in claim 9;

15 the process being conducted such that the NMR data reflect the totality of the compounds in the plant material which respond to the NMR technique being used.

12. A process according to any of the preceding claims wherein the plant material is derived from, or consists of, a mixture of two or more different plants.

25 13. A process according to claim 12 wherein the said mixture is a remedy from a system of traditional medicine where mixtures of plants or plant extracts are used.

14. A process according to claim 13 wherein the system of traditional medicine is Traditional Chinese Medicine or Ayurvedic Medicine.

AMENDED SHEET
IPEA/EP

-36-

15. A process according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the sample of the medicinal plant material which possesses said the or each property desired for the standard is a sample of authenticated or audited plant material of which the provenance is known.

5

09890873-002101

Fig.1.





Fig.5.



2/7

Fig.2B.




Fig.2A.




Fig.3B.




Fig.3A.




Fig.4B.




Fig.7.





Fig.6.



6/7

Fig.8B.





Fig.8A.



7/7

Fig.9B.





Fig.9A.



Nixon & Vanderhye P.C. (6/92)

**RULE 63 (37 C.F.R. 1.63)
DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY
FOR PATENT APPLICATION
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled STERILE SUBSTRATE AND PROCESS FOR PRODUCING IT

the specification of which (check applicable box(es)):

☐ is attached hereto

☐ was filed on _____

☒ was filed as PCT international application No. PCT/GB00/00340 on 4th February 2000

and (if applicable to U.S. or PCT application) was amended on _____

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.56(a). I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119/365 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed or, if no priority is claimed, before the filing date of this application.

Prior Foreign Application(s):

Application Number
9902639.5

Country
United Kingdom

Day/Month/Year Filed
5th February 1999

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120/365 of all prior United States and PCT international applications listed above or below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in such prior applications in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior applications and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

Prior U.S./PCT Application(s):

Application Serial No.

Day/Month/Year Filed

Status patented,
pending, abandoned

PCT/GB00/00340

4th February 2000

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

And I hereby appoint Nixon & Vanderhye P.C., 1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor, Arlington, Virginia 22201-4714, telephone number (703) 816-400 (to whom all communications are to be directed), and the following attorneys thereof (of the same address) individually and collectively my attorneys to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith and with the resulting patent: Arthur R. Crawford, 25327; Larry S. Nixon, 25640; Robert A. Vanderhye, 22026; James T. Hosmer, 30184; Robert W. Paris, 31352; Richard G. Besha, 22770; Mark E. Nustbaum, 32348; Michael J. Keenan, 32106; Bryan H. Davidson, 30251; Stanley C. Spooner, 27393; Leonard C. Mitchell, 29000; Duane M. Byers, 33363; Paul J. Henon, 33626; Jeffrey H. Nelson, 30481; John R. Lastova, 33149; H. Warren Burnam, Jr., 29366; Thomas E. Byrne, 32205.

1) Inventor's Signature [Signature] Date 06.08.01

Inventor's Name (typed) Peter HAWTON
First Middle Initial Family Name Citizenship

Residence (City) Ascot (State/Foreign Country) United Kingdom GBX

Post Office Address 1 Englemere Wood, London Road, Ascot, Berkshire, United Kingdom Zip Code SL5 8DE

2) Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____


Inventor's Name (typed) _____
First Middle Initial Family Name Citizenship

Residence (City) _____ (State/Foreign Country) _____

Post Office Address _____ Zip Code _____

FOR ADDITIONAL INVENTORS, check box ☐ and attach sheet with same information and signature and date for each.

United States Patent & Trademark Office
Office of Initial Patent Examination -- Scanning Division



Application deficiencies found during scanning:

☐ Page(s) _____ of _____ were not present
for scanning. (Document title)

☐ Page(s) _____ of _____ were not present
for scanning. (Document title)

Scanned copy is best available. Drawing Sheet 6 & 7 are dark